

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Vatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Varw. uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,935		11/15/2001	William Lam	0007056-0022/P4777	2/P4777 2886	
32615	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER		
OSHA LIA	-		STEVENS, THOMAS H			
1221 MCKIN HOUSTON,	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2123		
				DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/990,935	LAM, WILLIAM	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Stevens	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	RST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	3):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		/III be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11,13-21 and 23-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		$\overline{}$	ance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: The objection to the specification is withdrawn. 	. (P10/S8/08 or P10-1449) Paper.	100000	igues 12/20/0
	P	rimary Examine Art Unit 2125	Z(/

1 4

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are non-persuasive in view of the prior art. Applicants response to arguments regarding cross talk in the Casavant reference is irrelevant since cross talk is not claimed (applicant's arguments, page 4). In addition, the arguements on page 5, paragraph 2, fails to distinguish the differences between the invention and the prior art. Furthermore, applicant's statement regarding the amount of references used, within the last office action, as inappropriate is refuted by way of In re Gorman, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991) (see MPEP 2145, Section V. Arguing About the Number of References Combined).